Properly Constituted Admission, Review, and Dismissal	(ARD) Committees	
Student Name:		
Whenever appropriate, is there evidence that the		
student attended the ARD committee meeting?		
34 CFR §300.321(a)(7)		
Is there evidence that the parents/guardians of the		
child attended the ARD committee meeting? 34 CFR		
§300.321(a)(1)		
Is there evidence that the special education teacher of		
the child, or if appropriate, not less than one special		
education provider of the child, attended the ARD		
committee meeting?		
34 CFR §300.321(a)(3)		
Is there evidence that a representative of the LEA		
qualified to provide, or supervise the provision of,		
specially designed instruction to meet the unique		
needs of children with disabilities, knowledgeable		
about the general curriculum, and knowledgeable		
about the availability of resources of the LEA, attended		
the ARD committee meeting? 34 CFR §300.321(a)(4)		
General education teacher who is responsible for		
implementing a portion of the child's individualized		
education program (IEP) if the child is, or may be,		
participating in the regular education environment		
VI teacher		
Al Teacher		
LPAC		
CTE (considered in 6 th grade or 7 th grade)		
If any members were excused is there a written		
excuse?		
Current Evaluation	1	T
If the evaluation was a full individual and initial		
evaluation (FIIE), was it completed not later than the		
45th school day (1268		
considering allowable exceptions) following the day		
the LEA received signed, written consent from the student's parent? 19 TAC §89.1011(c)		
***Including consent for assessment		
וווכוטטוווצ כטוואפווג וטו מאאפאאווופווג		

Does the student have a current full individual evaluation (FIE) that is not more than 3 years old?		
Or REED?		
If a REED was done, is the FIE referenced? 34 CFR §300.305(a)(1)		
Is the evaluation based on information from a variety		
of sources, including aptitude/ achievement tests,	!	
parent input, teacher recommendations, as well as	!	
information about the student's physical,	!	
social/cultural and adaptive behavior for the purpose	!	
of determining eligibility and educational need?	!	
34 CFR §300.304(b)(1)	!	
Is there an evaluation for each related service?		
34 CFR §300.304(c)(3),(6)		
Is there an eligibility report for each disability?		
IEP Development/Implementation		
If the student is transitioning from ECI, is there	!	
evidence that an IFSP was adopted or an IEP developed		
and implemented by the child's third birthday? 34 CFR		
§300.124(b), and consistent with 300.323(b)		
Was the student promoted to the next grade level at		
the end of the previous school year?		
If there was a change in services from the previous to		
the current IEP, is there evidence of justification for	!	
the change (evidence documented in the student's	!	
PLAAFPs, goals, evaluation, etc.)?		
If the student is a transfer student from within the		
state in the same school year, were comparable	!	
services provided until the new LEA adopted the		
student's IEP from the previous LEA?		
If the student is a transfer student from within the		
state in the same school year, was the 30-day	!	
permanent ARD committee meeting held within		
timeline? 34 CFR §300.323(e); 19 TAC §89.1050(j)(1)		
Consent for initial placement? (for initial ARDs)		
Is there evidence that the IEP contains PLAAFPs that		
include how the child's disability affects their	1	

involvement and progress in the general education		
curriculum (describes the student's strengths and		
weaknesses); or for preschool children, as appropriate,		
how the disability affects the child's participation in		
appropriate activities? 34 CFR §300.320(a)(1)		
Have the goals (and objectives, when applicable) been		
revised to reflect reasonable progress according to		
data from the previous to the current IEP? 34 CFR		
§300.320(a)(2), §300.324(b)(1) (PROGRESS REPORTS)		
For students with autism, did the ARD committee		
consider whether peer-reviewed research-based		
educational programming practices are needed? AU		
Supplement		
For students with visual impairments, from birth		
through 21 years of age, does the IEP or individualized		
FSP meet the requirements of TEC §30.002(c)(4),(e)(3),		
(e)(5) and (f)?		
In the case of the child who is deaf or hard of hearing,		
did the ARD committee consider the communication		
needs of the child?		
Does the student's class schedule match the IEP		
schedule of services?		
34 CFR §300.17(d), §300.101		
List all special education and related services and the		
frequency/ location /duration of each.		
34 CFR §300.320(a)(7)		
Is there evidence that the services have been provided		
as listed?		
Is student making progress and meeting grade passing		
standards?		
If no, is there evidence that an ARD committee met,		
within a reasonable timeframe, to address the lack of		
expected progress, including significant changes that		
may have contributed to the lack of progress?		
34 CFR §300.324(b)(1)(ii)(A)		
Did the student receive ESY services in the previous		
year? 34 CFR §300.106(a); 19 TAC §89.1065(1),(7)		



If no, is there evidence the ARD committee considered ESY services?			
Did the ARD committee identify which goals and			
objectives in the current IEP would be addressed in ESY			
services? 34 CFR §300.106(b)(1)(ii); -(IEP)			
19 TAC §89.1055(c)-(goals & obj.)			
Is there evidence that the services were provided?			
LRE			
Does the student have access to			
extracurricular/nonacademic activities?			
34 CFR §300.117			
This section is only for students who are removed from	the general education setting for pa	rt or all of the school day to receive	instruction and/or support
services in a special education setting on a traditional ca	ampus.		
Is there evidence that supplemental aids and services			
were provided for the student before removal from			
the general education classroom?			
34 CFR §300.42			
What is the individualized justification that the student			
had to be removed from general education classroom?			
34 CFR §300.114, §300.320(a)(5)			
Does the student have access to			
extracurricular/nonacademic activities?			
34 CFR §300.117			
This section is only for students who receive instruction	and/or support services on a separa	te district campus.	
Does the student have access to the same continuum			
of services he/she would have on a traditional, age			
appropriate campus?			
34 CFR §300.114			
What is the individualized justification for not			
providing instruction on a traditional, age-appropriate			
district campus?			
34 CFR §300.114, §300.320(a)(5			
Does the student have access to extracurricular /			
nonacademic activities?			
34 CFR §300.117			
Participation in State Assessments			
Did the student meet standard on all state			
assessments for the previous school year?			



If NO, is there evidence an ARD committee designed an		
intensive program of instruction to enable the student		
to attain a standard of annual growth on the basis of		
the student's IEP for each assessment where the		
student did not meet standard? TEC §28.0213(e)		
(IPI/API)		
STAAR Assessments to be taken during the current		
school year: 19 TAC §101.5 listed?		
Accommodations needed for the assessments:		
34 CFR §300.320(a)(6)(i); 19 TAC §89.1055(b) listed?		
Is there evidence that testing accommodations are in		
alignment with classroom accommodations? 34 CFR		
§300.320(a)(6)(i);		
19 TAC §89.1055(b), §101.5		
If the ARD committee determines that the student		
must take an alternate assessment (STAAR Alternate 2)		
instead of a regular State (STAAR) or districtwide		
assessment of student achievement, is it clearly		
evidenced in the IEP (1) why the student cannot		
participate in the regular assessment; and (2) that the		
particular assessment selected is appropriate for the		
student? 34 CFR §300.320(a)(6)(ii)		
Does the evidence support the state assessment		
decision? 34 CFR §300.320(a)(6)(i); 19 TAC §101.5.		
Transition		
Was the student's first transition ARD committee		
meeting held prior to their 14th birthday?		
TEC §29.0111		
Is there evidence that the student attended the ARD		
committee meeting, as appropriate?		
34 CFR §300.321(a)(7) (attended) 34CFR		
§300.321(b)(1) (invited)		
If no, is there evidence that the ARD committee		
considered and documented the student's preferences		
and interests?		
34 CFR §300.321(b)(2)		



Is there evidence that the parent attended the ARD		
committee meeting that addressed transition?		
If no, is there evidence that the ARD committee		
documented the parent's involvement?		
19 TAC §89.1055(h)(2)		
If the student's transition goals require the		
involvement of other agencies, is there evidence they		
were invited to the ARD committee meeting? 19 TAC		
§89.1055(h)(9) Is there evidence that the agency		
representative attended?		
Is there evidence that the student was considered for a		
functional vocational evaluation and, as appropriate,		
addressed in the IEP?		
19 TAC §89.1055(h)(5)		
Is there evidence that the ARD committee considered		
postsecondary education goals and, as appropriate,		
addressed in the IEP?		
19 TAC §89.1055(h)(4)		
Is there evidence that the ARD committee considered		
independent living goals and, as appropriate,		
addressed in the IEP?		
19 TAC §89.1055(h)(8)		
Is there evidence that the ARD committee considered		
employment goals and, as appropriate, addressed in		
the IEP?		
19 TAC §89.1055(h)(6)		
Was the first or annual transition ARD committee		
meeting held prior to their 16th birthday?		
34 CFR §300.320(b)		
Evidence of transition services (including courses of		
study) needed to assist the student in reaching		
postsecondary goals. 34 CFR		
§300.320(b)(2)		
If student is at least 18 years of age, is there evidence		
in the IEP that the availability of an age-appropriate		
instructional environment was considered? 19 TAC		
§89.1055(h)(7)		

Beginning not later than 1 year before the student		
reaches 18 years of age, is there evidence in the IEP		
that the student has been informed of the student's		
rights under the IDEA, if any, that will transfer to the		
student on reaching the age of 18? 34 CFR §300.320(c);		
19 TAC §89.1049(a); TEC §29.017		
Behavior/Discipline		
Is there evidence that the student's behavior impedes		
his/her learning or that of others?		
If so, is there evidence that the ARD committee		
considered positive behavioral intervention strategies		
and supports to address behavior?		
34 CFR §300.324(a)(2)(i)		
During the prior school/current year, was the student		
removed to: ISS, OSS, SAEP, Alt. Placement? Total # of		
days		
If student was removed for more than 10 days is there		
evidence that the student continued to receive		
educational services so as to enable the student to		
continue to participate in the general education		
curriculum although in another setting, and to progress		
toward meeting the goals in the IEP? AND		
Receive, as appropriate, a FBA, and behavioral		
intervention services and modifications, that are		
designed to address the behavior violation so that it		
does not recur?		
Has this student had a manifestation determination		
when a decision was made to change the placement of		
the student who had engaged in behavior that violated		
the code of conduct of the district?		
34 CFR §300.536		
In conducting the manifestation determination, is		
there evidence that the ARD committee reviewed all		
relevant information in the student's file, including: the		
IEP, teacher observations, and parent information?		
34 CFR §300.530(e)(1)		
Did the ARD committee determine that the student's		
hehavior was a manifestation of the disability?		

If yes, Was a current functional behavioral assessment		
(FBA) and behavioral intervention plan (BIP) in place?		
If yes, did the IEP team review the BIP, and modify it,		
as necessary, to address the behavior?		
OR did the IEP team conduct an FBA		